In re: Saima S. Khan Filed: November 30, 2001 Serial No.: 09/998,249

riai No.: 09/998,249 Page 6

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding non-final Office Action mailed June 28, 2005. Claims 1 - 3 have been canceled and Claim 7 has been added herein. Support for newly added claim 7 can be found, for example, in original claim 4. No new matter has been entered. Upon entry of the enclosed claims amendment, claims 4-7 remain pending in the present application. The amendment herein put the application in condition for allowance.

In the Office Action, claims 1-2 have been preliminarily rejected based on obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103. Applicants have canceled claim 1-3. Applicants do not concede that the claims are obvious in view of the references cited by the Examiner. However, since claims 1-3 have been canceled the obviousness rejection is moot. Newly added claim 7 is allowable for reason discussed between Applicants' attorney, Andrew Martin, and Examiner Jean Gelin on September 8, 2005. Applicants traverse all of the rejections of the Office Action. Applicants appreciate the Examiner's review of the above-identified patent application and respectfully allowance in view of the above amendments and remarks.

In re: Saima S. Khan Filed: November 30, 2001 Serial No.: 09/998,249 Page 7

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, applicants' attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Saima S. Khan

Ву

Andrew R. Martin, Esq. Registration No. 45,413 Attorney for Applicant(s)

BOURQUE & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 835 Hanover Street, Suite 301 Manchester, New Hampshire 03104

Telephone: (603) 623-5111 Facsimile: (603) 624-1432

Date: 9/9/05